

Preprint EFUAZ 94-03
April 1994

THE DIRAC-DOWKER OSCILLATOR*

Valeri V. Dvoeglazov^{† ‡}

*Escuela de Física, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas
Ciudad Universitaria, Antonio Dovalí Jaime, s/n
Zacatecas, ZAC., México 98068*

*Submitted to *Physics Letters A*

[†]On leave of absence from *Dept. Theor. & Nucl. Phys., Saratov State University, Astrakhanskaya str., 83, Saratov RUSSIA*

[‡]Email: *valeri@bufa.reduaz.mx, dvoeglazov@main1.jinr.dubna.su*

Abstract

The oscillator-like interaction is introduced in the equation for the particle of arbitrary spin, given by Dirac and re-written to a matrix form by Dowker.

Recently, the conception of relativistic oscillators [1] got developed significantly in connection with the papers of Moshinsky [2]. The case of spin $j = 1/2$, the Dirac oscillator, ref. [2a], as well as the cases of $j = 0$, the Klein-Gordon oscillator, ref. [3], and $j = 1$, the Duffin-Kemmer oscillator, ref. [4], have been investigated. In this letter we try to generalize the notion of relativistic oscillator with intrinsic spin structure to the case of arbitrary spin.

We begin from the equation for any spin given by Dirac [5], see also ref. [6], in the form written down in ref. [7, p.154] by Corson, $c = \hbar = 1$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial^{\dot{A}B} v_B(k + \frac{1}{2}) \psi(k + \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{1}{2}) - m \left(\frac{2k+1}{2l} \right)^{1/2} v^{\dot{A}}(l) \psi(k, l) = 0, \\ \partial_{\dot{A}B} v^{\dot{A}}(l) \psi(k, l) + m \left(\frac{2l}{2k+1} \right)^{1/2} v_B(k + \frac{1}{2}) \psi(k + \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{1}{2}) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here we use Corson's notation, $v^{\dot{A}}$ and $v^{\dot{A}}$ are the rectangular spinor-matrices of $2k$ rows and $2k + 1$ columns (see, e. g., section 17b of ref. [7] for details). The wave function $\psi(k, l)$ belongs to the (k, l) representation of the homogeneous Lorentz group. The choice $l = 1/2$ and $k = j - 1/2$, j is the spin of a particle, permits one to reduce a number of subsidiary conditions. Namely, we have the only condition in this case [8, 10]:

$$\partial_{\dot{A}B} v^B(j - \frac{1}{2}) v^{\dot{A}}(\frac{1}{2}) \psi(j - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 0, \quad (2)$$

which follows from (1b). Moreover, the equations (1) are shown by Dowker [9]-[12] to recast to the matrix form which is similar to the well-known Dirac equation for $j = 1/2$ particle

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi = m \Upsilon, \\ \bar{\alpha}^\mu \partial_\mu \Upsilon = -m \Phi. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The $4j$ - component function Φ could be identified with the wave function in $(j, 0) \oplus (j - 1, 0)$ representation. Then, Υ , which also has $4j$ components, is written down

$$\Upsilon = (-1)^{2j} (2j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\dot{A}}(j - \frac{1}{2}) \otimes v^{\dot{A}}(\frac{1}{2}) \\ u_{\dot{A}}(j) \otimes v^{\dot{A}}(\frac{1}{2}) \end{pmatrix} \psi(j - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}). \quad (4)$$

and it belongs to $(j - 1/2, 1/2)$ representation. Four matrices α^μ and four matrices $\bar{\alpha}^\mu = \alpha_\mu$, where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, obey the anticommutation relations of Pauli matrices

$$\bar{\alpha}^{(\mu} \alpha^{\nu)} = g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

however, they have the dimension $4j \otimes 4j$. This set of matrices has been investigated in details in ref. [9], and α^μ was proved there to satisfy all the algebraic relations of the Pauli matrices except for completeness.

Defining $p_\mu = -i\partial_\mu$ and the analogs of γ - matrices as following:

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\bar{\alpha}^\mu \\ i\alpha^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

the set of equations (3) is written down to the form of the Dirac equation

$$(p_\mu \gamma^\mu - m) \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \Upsilon \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (7)$$

However, let us not forget that Φ and Υ are 2-spinors only in the case of $j = 1/2$.

As mentioned in, e. g., ref. [7, p.33,124], in the case of spin $j = 1/2$ the set of γ -matrices in representation (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\mathbb{1}_{2\otimes 2} \\ i\mathbb{1}_{2\otimes 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\tau^1 \\ i\tau^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\tau^2 \\ i\tau^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\tau^3 \\ i\tau^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

is defined up to the unitary transformation and Eq. (7) could be recast to the Hamiltonian form given by Dirac (with α_k and β matrices) by means of the unitary matrix. It is easy to carry out the same procedure ($\alpha^k = \mathcal{S}\gamma^0\gamma^k\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ and $\beta = \mathcal{S}\gamma^0\mathcal{S}^{-1}$) for γ matrices, Eq. (6), and functions of arbitrary spin ($\Psi = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \cdot \text{column}(\phi, v)$). For our aims it is convenient to choose the unitary matrix as following:

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4j\otimes 4j} & i\mathbb{1}_{4j\otimes 4j} \\ i\mathbb{1}_{4j\otimes 4j} & \mathbb{1}_{4j\otimes 4j} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

After standard substitution $\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} - im\omega\gamma^0\vec{r}$ we obtain

$$\begin{cases} E\phi = -i[\alpha_0(\vec{\alpha}\vec{p}) + im\omega(\vec{\alpha}\vec{r})]v + m\alpha_0\phi, \\ Ev = i[\alpha_0(\vec{\alpha}\vec{p}) - im\omega(\vec{\alpha}\vec{r})]\phi - m\alpha_0v. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Since it follows from the anticommutation relations (5) that $\alpha_i\alpha_0 = \alpha_0\alpha_i$ (the signature is taken to be $(+ \ - \ - \ -)$), we have the equations which coincide with Eq. (8) of ref. [2a] or Eqs. (3.6) and (3.12) of ref. [2e] except for $\tau_\mu \rightarrow \alpha_\mu$, i. e. their explicit forms,

$$(E^2 - m^2)\phi = \left[\vec{p}^2 + m\omega\vec{r}^2 - 3\alpha_0m\omega - 2m\omega\alpha_0\Sigma^{ij}r^i\nabla_j \right] \phi \quad (11)$$

$$(E^2 + m^2)v = \left[\vec{p}^2 + m\omega\vec{r}^2 + 3\alpha_0m\omega + 2m\omega\alpha_0\Sigma^{ij}r^i\nabla_j \right] v. \quad (12)$$

where $\Sigma^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{[i}\bar{\alpha}^{j]}$. As shown in [9] it is always possible to pass to the representation of α^μ matrices with α^0 the unit matrix.

Thus, we convinced ourselves that we obtained the same oscillator-like interaction and the similar spectrum as for the case of $j = 1/2$ particles in [2a].

The author greatly appreciate valuable discussions with Profs. J. Beckers, N. Debergh and A. del Sol Mesa. I am also grateful to Prof. J. S. Dowker for sending me the reprints of his papers.

References

- [1] D. Itô, K. Mori and E. Carreri, *Nuovo Cim.* A51 (1967) 1119; P. A. Cook, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* 1 (1971) 419.
- [2] M. Moshinsky and A. Szczepaniak, *J. Phys.* A22 (1989) L817; C. Quesne and M. Moshinsky, *J. Phys.* A23 (1990), 2263; M. Moshinsky, G. Loyola and C. Villegas, *J. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 373; V. I. Kukulin, G. Loyola and M. Moshinsky, *Phys. Lett. A* 158 (1991) 19; M. Moshinsky and G. Loyola, *Found. Phys.* 23 (1993) 197; A. González, G. Loyola and M. Moshinsky, *Rev. Mex. Fís.* 40 (1994) 12.
- [3] S. Bruce and P. Minning, *Nuovo Cim.* A106 (1993) 711; *ibid* 107 (1994) 169(E); V. V. Dvoeglazov, Preprint EFUAZ 94-02, April 1994, submitted for publication.
- [4] N. Debergh, J. Ndimubandi and D. Strivay, *Zeit. Phys.* C56 (1992) 421.
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A155 (1936) 447.
- [6] M. Fierz, *Helv. Phys. Acta* 12 (1939) 3; M. Fierz and W. Pauli, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A173 (1939) 211.
- [7] E. M. Corson, *Introduction to Tensor, Spinors, and Relativistic Wave-Equations* (Hafner Pub. Co. , New York, 1953).
- [8] H. J. Bhabha, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 17 (1945) 200.
- [9] J. S. Dowker and Y. P. Dowker, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A294 (1966) 175.
- [10] J. S. Dowker, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A297 (1967) 351.
- [11] J. S. Dowker, *Proc. Phys. Soc. London* 89 (1966) 353.
- [12] J. S. Dowker, *Nuovo Cim. Suppl.* 5 (1967) 734.